

Exhibit 19

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

IN RE:
CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION
OF
THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK
(SKATTEFORVALTNINGEN) TAX
REFUND
SCHEME LITIGATION

No. 18-MD-2865-LAK

EXPERT REPORT OF BRUCE G. DUBINSKY
MST, CPA, CFE, CVA, CFF, CAMS, MAFF

December 31, 2021*

*Updated on February 1, 2021. See attached cover letter.

245. For Plans in which Lehman himself was the participant, Solo's records suggest that Lehman was paid 15% of the refunds paid by SKAT.³⁰² In 2015, for his role in the scheme, Lehman's entities invoiced \$33 million from Ganymede or other Shah-controlled entities.³⁰³ No money from the refunds was paid to Lehman's Plans.³⁰⁴
246. Lehman and his brother together recruited three of Lehman's brother's friends to act as participants in a total of 15 Plans. Kevin Lehman was paid by Solo-related entities for these introductions.³⁰⁵ Kevin Lehman's entity SSM United LLC invoiced Solo-related entities approximately \$9 million.³⁰⁶ Solo's records show that it paid Kevin Lehman's entity SSM United LLC at least \$7,000,000.³⁰⁷ Lehman also received payments for his role in setting up and acting as an "authorized trader" for yet more Plans.³⁰⁸

5. Matthew Tucci

247. Matthew Tucci established six plans for which he was the principal and recruited others to establish 21 plans.³⁰⁹ He understood that he would receive between \$100,000 to \$300,000 for each plan introduced by him and told his recruits that they would make between \$35,000 to \$100,000 for each plan they established.³¹⁰
248. Tucci received a first payment of between approximately \$70,000 and \$150,000 in 2014, and a second payment of between \$4 and \$6 million, approximately a year later.³¹¹ None of these funds were paid to Tucci's Plans or the Plans' associated LLCs; the money was

³⁰² Roger Lehman invoiced Shah related entities for approximately \$33 million (see Lehman Exhibit 4024, 4025, 4027 and 4029) and the tax claims paid on the Plans for which he was listed as the beneficiary was approximately \$220 million (see ELYSIUM-07846030). \$33 million divided by \$220 million is 15 percent. *Also, compare, e.g.,* ELYSIUM-07426784 (Ganymede payment calculation spreadsheet) *with* ELYSIUM-03847468 (February 24, 2014 First Alton invoice to Ganymede).

³⁰³ See Lehman Exhibit 4024, 4025, 4027 and 4029; Lehman Dep. Tr. at 464:23 – 472:16.

³⁰⁴ Lehman Dep. Tr. at 453:24 – 454:10.

³⁰⁵ Lehman Dep. Tr. at 416:1-6.

³⁰⁶ ELYSIUM-00000542; ELYSIUM-00008335; ELYSIUM-00008359; ELYSIUM-00008475; ELYSIUM-07777712.

³⁰⁷ See ELYSIUM-04689274.

³⁰⁸ See, e.g., Lehman Dep. Tr. at 338:3-12, 348:21 – 349:21, 457:2 – 458:3, 480:13 – 483:6.

³⁰⁹ Tucci Dep. Tr. 22:12-26:12, Exhibit 1289.

³¹⁰ Tucci Dep. Tr. 53:6-55:14; 121:16-122:8; 123:13-24; 119:3-9.

³¹¹ Tucci Dep. Tr. 153:2-17.

transferred to his personal account and shell entity accounts established in connection with the scheme.³¹²

249. Tucci paid the others he recruited with portions of this money he had received from Solo/Ganymede.³¹³ He and his wife decided how much each of the other participants received.³¹⁴ The resulting payments ranged in size from \$0 to \$300,000, indicating that their allocation decisions were not based on the amount of shares each Plan purportedly owned or the amount of dividends each Plan purportedly received.
250. Tucci later came to believe that he had been “shorted” between \$2 to \$2.6 million for his efforts to advance the scheme.³¹⁵ Tucci raised the issue with Sanjay Shah, who then provided the money through a forgivable loan, paid to Tucci’s entity White Sands Advisors, Inc.³¹⁶

6. Doston Bradley

251. Doston Bradley established six plans for which he was the sole participant and recruited his family members to establish 14 plans. Bradley received approximately \$5.8 million in payments for establishing the 20 Plans for himself and his family.³¹⁷ None of these funds were paid to Bradley’s Plans or associated LLCs.³¹⁸
252. Bradley admitted that these transfers did not represent profits from trading on behalf of the plans but were instead payments for introducing plans into the scheme.³¹⁹
253. Bradley testified that he involved his parents in the scheme to provide for their retirement, but later admitted that he did not provide any of the money he received to his family members (including his parents), meaning those Plans and participants did not receive one dollar of the refund payments they were established to generate.³²⁰

³¹² Tucci Dep. Tr. 185:2-17, 126:21-127:6.

³¹³ Tucci Dep. Tr. 125:20-127:16.

³¹⁴ Tucci Dep. Tr. 127:25-128:9.

³¹⁵ Tucci Dep. Tr. 170:11-171:20.

³¹⁶ Tucci Dep. Tr. 174:4-25; 240:1-14; ELYSIUM-09330629; JPM0000208.

³¹⁷ Bradley Dep. Tr. 276:19-277:10; 306:14-20; 307:14-309:4.

³¹⁸ Bradley Dep. Tr. 277:22-278:10; Tr. 307:3-9; 339:20-25.

³¹⁹ Bradley Dep. Tr. 309:5-13.

³²⁰ Bradley Dep. Tr. 353:23-354:10.